

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,596	06/05/2006	Steven Cuypers	66969-007-7	3616
25269 DYKEMA GC	7590 09/30/200 DSSETT PLLC	8	EXAMINER	
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST			LEWIS, KIM M	
1300 I STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/581,596 CUYPERS ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Kim M. Lewis	3772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed safes 10% (6) MONTH's from the mainst date of their communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH's from the mainst date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or endended period for reply will by that set., cause the application to become ARADONDE (3 SU.S.C, § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earend patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on     2a) This action is FINAL.     3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	_ action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4)∑ Claim(s) 1-11.13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)□ Claim(s) 1-11.13 and 14 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a),  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d),  11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Australia							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/07 and 7/13/07.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 3772

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement filed 4/27/07 and 7/13/07 have been received and made of record. Note the acknowledged form PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

## Response to Amendment

- The preliminary amendment filed on 6/29/06 has been received and made of record. As requested, claims 1-11 and 13 have been amended, claim 12 have been cancelled, and claim 14 has been newly added.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 13-14 are pending in the instant application.

## Oath/Declaration

4. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be "material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56."

Application/Control Number: 10/581,596 Page 3

Art Unit: 3772

#### Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (a) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, line
- 10, "as described in the preamble of the first claim" should be deleted since claim numbering may change when the application is allowed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/581,596

Art Unit: 3772

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. As regards claims 1 and 14, "the inner surface" lacks proper antecedent basis.

#### Double Patenting

9. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

10. Claims 1-11, 13 and 14 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11, 13 and 14 of copending Application No. 11/473321. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/581,596

Art Unit: 3772

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,566,681 ("Manwaring et al.").
- 13. Re. claims 1, 3-5 and 14, Manwaring et al. disclose an apparatus and method for stabilizing a body part that anticipates applicant's presently claimed invention. More specifically, Manwaring et al. disclose applicant's claimed immobilization assembly (constituted by apparatus 10), rigid template (constituted by rigid template (16), fixing plate (constituted by support frame 14), connecting means (constituted by claim 30), and means for adjusting the fixation force (constituted by bolts 24), which are adjustable in a discontinuous manner (the force applied to the user can be adjusted by the placement of the screws in the holes in the template). Stepwise adjustment is constituted by incrementally increasing or decreasing placement of the bolts in the template at a distance close to or away from the head. Applicant should further note that the bolts are positioned at the edge of the template

Re. claim 10, note support (constituted by 16') and col. 4, lines 15-26, which discloses that 16' can attached directly to support frame (14) with bolts. Thus, the bolts inherently adjusts 16' with respect to the template.

Re. claim 13, note head and neck support (16'),

Application/Control Number: 10/581,596 Page 6

Art Unit: 3772

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 15. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 16. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manwaring et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,596

Art Unit: 3772

As regards claim 2, Manwaring et al. fail to disclose the fixation force exerted by the template is between 75 N-200 N. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, through routine experimentation, to arrive at a range of the correct fixation force that both allows for the patient's head and/or neck to be stabilized during a treatment and one that provides for some comfort of the patient during treatment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4796. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday to Friday, from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco, can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3772

/Kim M. Lewis/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3772

kml August 29, 2008